UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,337	04/29/2004	Do-Kyoung Kwon	MTKP0172USA	3336
	7590 10/02/200 RICA INTELLECTUA	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 506			VO, TUNG T	
MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/02/2007	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

winstonhsu.uspto@gmail.com Patent.admin.uspto.Rcv@naipo.com mis.ap.uspto@naipo.com.tw

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
`. Office Action Summary		10/709,337	KWON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tung Vo	2621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
2a)☐ 3)☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. ace except for formal ma		s is		
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1 and 21 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 2-20 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application	on Papers					
10)[2]	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 29 April 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ obj drawing(s) be held in abey on is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	• •		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 04/29/04; 05/17/04; 05/03/07.	Paper No	r Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/709,337

Art Unit: 2621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Joch et al. (US 7,227,901).

Re claim 1, Joch teaches a method for reducing a blocking artifact in a video stream (44 of fig. 4), the method comprising: calculating an activity value representing local activity around a block boundary between a plurality of adjacent blocks in the video stream (p and q of fig. 3a, 112, 114 of fig. 5); determining a region mode according to the activity value (116 and 120 of fig. 5); determining a plurality of thresholds (114 of fig. 5); the thresholds taking into account a user defined offset (UDO) allowing the thresholds to be adjusted according to the UDO value (115 of fig. 5; QP offset A and offset B are obviously inputted by a user); and filtering a plurality of pixels around the block boundary according to the region mode and the thresholds (116, YES, go to 120 of fig. 5, filtering process).

Re claim 21, Joch further teaches wherein the video stream is an MPEG video stream (col. 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/709,337

Art Unit: 2621

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 3

3. Claims 2-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Joch does not particularly teaches at least taking into account differences in quantization

parameters QPs of the adjacent blocks to determine a first threshold, a third threshold, a fourth

threshold, a fifth threshold, a sixth and a seventh threshold as specified in claim 2 and [0143]-

[0147] of the specification.

Conclusion

1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Yu et al. (US 6,823,089) discloses method of determining the extent of blocking and

contouring artifacts in a digital image.

**Contact Information** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tung Vo whose telephone number is 571-272-7340. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2621